

5.8 Lung NODES Year End Reflection Survey

Record ID

Name

Institution

Role

- Oncology data specialist
 Cancer liaison physician
 Cancer QI lead - clinical (*physician, nurse)
 Cancer QI lead - non-clinical (*practice manager, administrator)
 Other (please describe)

Other Role: (describe)

Program Compliance Rate

What was your program's rate of compliance to meeting ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 from AY2024?

- < 60%
 60-79%
 ≥80%

Tell us how your program has used the Lung NODES 5.8 Quality Improvement Project to impact structural measures (eg: organizational change, facility-level change) at your site.

Tell us how your program has used the Lung NODES 5.8 Quality Improvement Project to impact process measures (eg: surgical or pathology workflows) at your site.

Tell us how your program has used the Lung NODES 5.8 Quality Improvement Project to impact outcome measures (eg: rates of compliance to the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8) at your site.

Tell us if these have improved or limited adherence to implementing the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 at your site

1 = Disagree: This means the item is a potential barrier

2 = Neutral

3 = Agree: This means the item is a potential facilitator

Surgeon buy-in to guideline

Wedge resection cases

Anatomic resection cases (eg: segmentectomy, lobectomy, extended lobectomy, pneumonectomy)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Robotic operative approach used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Video-assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) approach used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Open approach used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Patient medical co-morbidities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Patient preoperative staging that included endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Communication between surgeon and operating room team (eg: scrub nurse, circulating nurse) about lymph node specimens	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Proper labelling of lymph node specimens	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Standardized pathology reporting in synoptic format	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Effect on implementation

Please tell us what strategies your sites has used to improve adherence to the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8

- Use of pre-labelled specimen containers
- Use of sheets in operating room showing thoracic lymph node stations
- Education of surgeons
- Education of operating room nurse staff
- Education of pathology staff
- Regular audit of data between surgeons, pathologists, and/or cancer committee
- Creation or enhancement of workflows in electronic health record (EHR)
- Other (please add for each additional strategy)

Of the strategies checked above, which one (s) were most effective?

CFIR Survey Items
Program Characteristics - Relative Advantage

Surgeons here see the advantage of implementing the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 versus an alternative standard Yes No

Pathologists here see the advantage of implementing the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 versus an alternative standard Yes No

Cancer program members here see the advantage of implementing the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 versus an alternative standard Yes No

Leadership here see the advantage of implementing the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 versus an alternative standard Yes
 No

Outer Setting - Patient Needs and Resources

Surgeons here regularly seek to understand the needs of patients and make changes to better meet those needs Yes
 No

Pathologists here regularly seek to understand the needs of patients and make changes to better meet those needs Yes
 No

Cancer program managers here regularly seek to understand the needs of patients and make changes to better meet those needs Yes
 No

Leadership here regularly seek to understand the needs of patients and make changes to better meet those needs Yes
 No

Inner Setting - Structural Characteristics

The structures and policies in place here enable surgeons to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

The structures and policies in place here enable pathologists to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

The structures and policies in place here enable cancer program members to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

The structures and policies in place here enable leadership to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

Inner Setting - Networks and Communication

Surgeons have open lines of communication with everyone needed to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

Pathologists have open lines of communication with everyone needed to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

Cancer program managers have open lines of communication with everyone needed to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

Leadership has open lines of communication with everyone needed to meet ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes
 No

Inner Setting - Tension for Change

Surgeons here see the current situation for lymph node staging and reporting as intolerable and that the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is needed Yes
 No

Pathologists here see the current situation for lymph node staging and reporting as intolerable and that the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is needed Yes
 No

Cancer program managers here see the current situation for lymph node staging and reporting as intolerable and that the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is needed Yes
 No

Leadership here see the current situation for lymph node staging and reporting as intolerable and that the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is needed Yes
 No

Inner Setting - Compatibility

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is compatible with existing clinical processes for surgeons Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is compatible with existing clinical processes for pathologists Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is compatible with existing clinical processes for cancer program managers Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is compatible with existing clinical processes for leadership Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with surgeon values related to lymph node sampling and reporting during lung cancer resection Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with pathologist values related to lymph node sampling and reporting during lung cancer resection Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with cancer program member values related to lymph node sampling and reporting during lung cancer resection Yes
 No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with leadership values related to lymph node sampling and reporting during lung cancer resection Yes
 No

Inner Setting - Goals and Feedback

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with surgeons' goals Yes No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with pathologists' goals Yes No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with cancer program manager goals Yes No

The ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 is aligned with leadership goals Yes No

Inner Setting - Leadership Engagement

Higher level surgeons are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Higher level pathologists are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Higher level cancer program members are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Higher level leadership is committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Surgeon leaders I work with most closely are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Pathologist leaders I work with most closely are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Cancer program members I work with most closely are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Leadership I work with most closely are committed, involved, and accountable for the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Inner Setting - Available Resources

Surgeons have sufficient time dedicated to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Pathologists have sufficient time dedicated to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Cancer program members have sufficient time dedicated to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Leadership has sufficient time dedicated to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Surgeons have sufficient space to accommodate the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Pathologists have sufficient space to accommodate the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Cancer program members have sufficient space to accommodate the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Leadership has sufficient space to accommodate the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Surgeons have other needed resources to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Pathologists have other needed resources to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Cancer program members have other needed resources to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Leadership has other needed resources to meet the ACS CoC Operative Standard 5.8 Yes No

Process - Reflecting and Evaluating

Surgeons have access to data to help track changes in outcomes Yes No

Pathologists have access to data to help track changes in outcomes Yes No

Cancer program members have access to data to help track changes in outcomes Yes No

Leadership has access to data to help track changes in outcomes Yes No

Final Thoughts

Have your expectations been met by participating in the Lung NODES Quality Improvement Project? Yes No

No, Please explain/advise _____

Please provide feedback on how our team can improve. _____

What does your program hope to accomplish with the ACS
CoC Operative Standard 5.8 this upcoming year?
