

Challenging Definitions

Paul Jeffers

Manager, Standards Development, ACS Cancer Programs

American College of Surgeons

Chicago, JL

ACS NAPBC National Accreditation Program for Breast Centers
American College of Surgeons

2



Disclosures

Nothing to Disclose



	ACS NAPBC National Association Program for Breast Centure Account College of Surgeon Conference Con
	_
	Challenging Definitions
	Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making
	Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment
	O nector Colog of Largeon 2013 Control may not be reported or registered without the unities permission of the American Cologo of Largeon.
1	
	NAPBC Manufaquadation Region be treat Cates
	Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making
	culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making
	 Public comment period and NAPBC Pilot Site Visit Program raised questions regarding definitions, implementation, and compliance
	evaluation
	• Payiend adition of the 2024 Standards (December 2022) was beside.
	 Revised edition of the 2024 Standards (December 2023) was heavily focused on addressing these concerns
	Submarian College of Section 1993 Control was not be recorded at reasonand without the activities according of the Province College of Section 1995.
_	
)	
	ACS NAPBC Manufacturation Pages to Heart Galaxy
	Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making
	Feedback:
	Compliance requirements not clearly defined
	 Identifying barriers to care Definition was too limited
	 Almost exclusively emphasized cultural considerations
	 Documenting compliance in the patient medical record would be difficult and burdensome
	Particularly without specific applicability to the patient
	R. American Solitine of Suprema 2002 Centered may not be reconfused of renounceed without the authen-americaling of the Annecian College of Suprema.

TAP DE Ameter Cirique et Suprem				
Updates to Address Feedback				
 Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making ➤ Changed to Individualized Shared Decision Making (ISDM) 				
Significantly revised definition Greater consideration/inclusion for unique patient factors and circumstances				
2. Requirement for documentation in the medical record removed				
3. Compliance documented through annual BPLC review				
4. Resources and Examples for ISDM added to the Standards Appendix				
Consists Colone of Economy, 2022 Colone on was the recording of companies without the written materials of this America, Colone of Sazarous.				
Controlled Length of Anglatest And Assessed only that are represented to represent the street personal to the controlled				

Defining ISDM Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making ➤ Changed to Individualized Shared Decision Making Significantly revised definition

- ➤ ISDM is a structured, collaborative approach to healthcare decisionmaking that moves beyond the traditional model of informed consent by engaging the patient, their family, and healthcare providers
- $\circ\,$ Involvement of healthcare providers to emphasize a collaborative approach and an active role in the process
- Involvement of family to acknowledge the broader impact of the diagnosis, and their common role as short/long-term caregivers who participate in the decision-making process

8

Documenting ISDM				
• Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making ➤ Changed to Individualized Shared Decision Making (ISDM)				
Requirement for documentation in the medical record removed Rating compliance through chart review requires documentation in the medical record for each patient, including those with no relevant considerations				
 Increased documentation workload for providers, when the conversation itself adds the most value 				

Documenting ISDM • Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making ➤ Changed to Individualized Shared Decision Making (ISDM) 3. Compliance documented through annual BPLC review • Affected Standards • Standard 5.6 – Evaluation and Treatment Planning • Standard 5.9 – Surgical Care • Standard 5.10 – Reconstructive Surgery • Standard 5.11 – Medical Oncology • Standard 5.12 – Radiation Oncology

11

Culturally Appropriate Shared Decision Making Changed to Individualized Shared Decision Making (ISDM) Compliance documented through annual BPLC review Each calendar year, the BPLC must review and assess implementation of individualized shared decision making BPLC meeting minutes documenting the required annual review Natural starting point for a new requirement in the standards Greater flexibility, more opportunities to demonstrate compliance Acknowledges staff training and process changes may be necessary Annual review to document progress and identify barriers

ACS NAPBC Missi Association Property Sear Colon	
Resources for ISDM	
 Resources and Examples for ISDM added to the Standards Appendix Many NAPBC programs are approaching ISDM principles for the first time 	
 Need for established resources 	
 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Resources for starting a shared decision-making dialogue with patients 	
 Implementation resources for shared decision making Webinars on implementation and overcoming barriers to shared decision making 	
 Association of Community Cancer Centers (ACCC) Featured publication regarding methods for establishing patient engagement 	
 National Cancer Institute (NCI) Demographic and cultural considerations in cancer communication 	
 Education and training in cancer communication 	
© American College of Sergeons 2013. Centers was not be appealant or represent without the written permission of the American College of Sergeons.	
13	
ACC NAPBC More foundation Program to Based Galaxy Account Colour Follows To Based Galaxy Account Colour Follows To Based Galaxy Account Colour Follows To Based Galax	
Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment	
 Pre/Post-treatment functional assessments were required for: Standard 5.9: Surgical Care 	
 Standard 5.10: Reconstructive Surgery Standard 5.11: Medical Oncology 	
Standard 5.11: Medical Oncology Standard 5.12: Radiation Oncology	
Public comment period and NAPBC Pilot Site Visit Program raised	-
questions regarding implementation and compliance evaluation	
Revised edition of the 2024 Standards (December 2023) was heavily	
focused on addressing these concerns	
A MARIAN AND A MARIA COLLABOR ON THE WINDOWS OF THE PROPERTY AND A MARIAN AND A MARIA AND A MARIA COLLABOR OF THE PROPERTY	J
14	
NOP NAPRC was to wait to have been taken	
Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment	-
Feedback:	
Requiring a pre- and post-treatment functional assessment for all	
patients is often unnecessary	
 Minimal benefit for patients with normal physical function and 	

Increases demand on providers/staff (resource allocation)
 Performing the assessment
 Including documentation in the medical record

• Insufficient guidance on requirements for the functional assessment

NAPBC Maland Association Program to Shauld Continue Association State of Continue Association Program to Shauld Continue Association State of Continue Assoc			
Updates to Address Feedback			
 Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment Changed to Pre-Treatment Functional Assessment 			
1. Requirement for post-treatment assessment removed			
2. Patients must only be considered for the pre-treatment assessment			
 Program has discretion regarding whether the assessment is necessary or beneficial to the patient 			
3. Dedicated section added to the Appendix of Optimal Resources for Breast Care			
 One protocol may be developed and implemented for all four standards 			
Sheering College of Largeons 2001 Learner may not be represented or reportant without the written personnel of the American College of Largeons.			

Updates to Address Feedback

- - $_{\odot}\,$ Establish pre-treatment baseline for physical function
 - $\circ \ \ \text{Determine readiness for treatment}$
 - Pre-Treatment evaluation a patient's tolerance of the supine position with the affected arm raised over their head before starting radiation therapy
 Identify patients who may benefit from referral to exercise, physical therapy, and/or lymphedema management
- Development:
 - $\circ\,$ NAPBC Programs have full discretion on developing their own functional assessment
 - o Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Status/Karnofsky
 - May be used as an initial screening step, but physical assessment of the patient is required

17

Updates to Address Feedback

- Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment ➤ Changed to Pre-Treatment Functional Assessment
- 1. Requirement for post-treatment assessment removed
 - The NAPBC-accredited program must develop and implement a protocol for pre-treatment functional assessment and appropriate referrals to exercise, physical therapy, and/or lymphedema management
 - $\operatorname{\text{{\it E}}}$ Compliance is met with the implementation of the protocol
 - Not confirmed through medical record review

	Updates to Address Feedback
	 Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment Changed to Pre-Treatment Functional Assessment
	Patients must only be considered for the pre-treatment assessment Program has discretion regarding whether the assessment is necessary or beneficial to the patient No requirement for documentation of consideration
<u> </u>	© beneate Chilgra of Languary, (201). Center may not be reproduced an envergence about the arithm partnings of the American Childra of Languary, (201). Center may not be reproduced at the arithm partnings of the American Childra of Languary, (201).
	NAPBC Manufacture have been interested in the following of the parties from a finite following of the parties and the finite following of the parties and the finite following of the parties followed by the finite following of the finite following
	Updates to Address Feedback
	Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment

Breast Care

professional in a clinical setting

Example functional assessment:

Shoulder abduction test

Timed "Up and Go" test

CDC STEADI Initiative

➤ Changed to **Pre-Treatment Functional Assessment**3. Dedicated section added to the Appendix of *Optimal Resources for*

 $\circ\;$ Assessment is intended to be easily administered by any qualified health care

Updates to Address Feedback • Pre/Post-Treatment Functional Assessment > Changed to Pre-Treatment Functional Assessment 4. One protocol may be developed and implemented for all four standards > Standard 5.9: Surgical Care > Standard 5.10: Reconstructive Surgery > Standard 5.11: Medical Oncology > NAPBC Program may also choose to develop separate protocols for one or more of these standards

Thank You	
NAPBC	
ACS NAPBC National Accreditation Program for Breast Centers American College of Surgeons	